ARTICLES > BIG TECH & MEDIA

Landmark Censorship Case Likely Headed to Supreme Court as Justice Alito Passes Order Blocking White House From Contact With Social Media

U.S. Supreme Court Justice Samuel Alito on Thursday passed an order that would have restricted the Biden administration from communicating with social media companies after two lower courts found key government officials likely violated the First Amendment by pressuring the companies to censor information about COVID-19.

By Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

Mike et Bay, mike et bat, Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day - It's free.

U.S. Supreme Court Justice Samuel Alito on Thursday paused an order that would have restricted the Biden administration from communicating with social media companies after two lower courts found key government officials likely violated the First Amendment by pressuring the companies to censor information about COVID-19.

Alito's ruling places a temporary hold on a federal judge's injunction issued in a Missouri et al., v. Biden et al., and later upheld under a 5-4, 6-3 vote by the 5th Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals.

The injunction was set to take effect Sept. 18.

The 5th Circuit agreed with the lower court that Biden administration officials illegally suppressed free speech on social media. But a three-judge panel issued a mixed ruling that only partially upheld the July 4 injunction.

Alito's pause, in effect until Sept. 22, followed Thursday's emergency filing by the U.S. Department of Justice to the Supreme Court to stay the injunction while the high court considers whether to take the case.

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's New Book: "Vax-Unvax"

The plaintiffs have until Sept. 20 to respond to the government's request.

Kim Mack Rosenberg, acting principal deputy chief of the Children's Health Defense (CHD) fold The Defender it was not surprising that Solicitor General Elizabeth Prelogar asked the Supreme Court to step in because the 5th Circuit panel issued a brief administrative stay, as the 5th Circuit had also done in July.

"Counsel for President Biden and the other defendants in this case had petitioned before the 5th Circuit at oral arguments on Aug. 10 that the defendants likely would take the case up to the Supreme Court," Rosenberg said.

In the filing, Prelogar argued the injunction "flouts bedrock principles of Article III jurisdiction and equitable relief" and "rests on a mistaken view of the First Amendment."

"A central dimension of presidential power is the use of the Office's bully pulpit to seek to persuade Americans — and American companies — to act in ways that the President believes would advance the public interest," Prelogar wrote.

But, she added, "The court imposed unprecedented limits on the ability of the President and his subordinates to use their offices to address matters of public concern, on the DOJ's ability to address threats to the nation's security, and on the CDC's [Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's] ability to engage in health information at platforms' request."

Commenting on these claims, Rosenberg said, "What is interesting to me is how tone deaf to First Amendment rights the federal government appears to be" by adding that the statement on the precedential use of the bully pulpit was particularly troubling.

"This is not a case about suppressing the government's ability to express opinions in the media," said Rosenberg. "It is about the government actively engaging with social media to suppress First Amendment rights of those who use the social media platforms to disseminate and receive information."

Your support helps fund this work — and CHD's related advocacy, education and research.

DONATE NOW

The "public pulpit" examples cited by Prelogar in the application concerned non-speech-related actions by companies, and were "completely distinguishable" from this case, Rosenberg said.

While the government claims it is simply trying to persuade companies to take down misinformation, Rosenberg said, "the evidence is overwhelming to date in this case and elsewhere shows the government is actively engaged in communicating with social media companies to suppress free speech and mislead Americans through censored forums."

Two courts already found the evidence the administration's actions violated the First Amendment and issued and upheld the injunction based on that evidence.

The 5th Circuit judges wrote last week that the White House and the Office of the Surgeon General "identified disfavored speech and then pressured platforms by way of intimidating messages and threats of adverse consequences" and "significantly encouraged the platforms' decisions by commandeering their decision-making processes."

The court limited the scope of the original preliminary injunction, which prohibited officials from many agencies from having practically any contact with the social media companies. Instead, the court narrowed the impact to the White House, the Surgeon General's Office, the FBI and the CDC.

In the filing Thursday, Prelogar also argued, "If allowed to take effect, the injunction would impose grave and irreparable harms on the government and the public."

The Defender

"The Wuhan Cover-Up" by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

ORDER NOW

Rosenberg responded:

> "I would argue that if the injunction does not take effect, the public will continue to be harmed by the government exerting coercive pressure to control what we are allowed to say and see on social media.
>
> "Free speech is a hallmark of democracy. It is astounding that this administration continues to try to dismantle and destroy this fundamental right."

The central question in Missouri et al. v. Biden et al. is whether the Biden administration violated the First Amendment when it pressured social media companies to remove or suppress content that ran counter to official narratives on COVID-19 vaccines and other content the government disagreed with.

Plaintiffs in the case include Jay Bhattacharya, M.D., Ph.D., and Martin Kulldorff, Ph.D., who co-authored the Great Barrington Declaration; Jim Hoft, who runs The Gateway Pundit website; Dr. Aaron Kheriaty, senior scholar at the Ethics and Public Policy Center; and Jill Hines, co-director of Health Freedom Louisiana, along with the attorneys general from Missouri and Louisiana.

The lawsuit was originally filed in federal courts alleging the White House and members of the Biden administration pressured social media platforms to suppress speech that was not aligned with government narratives on COVID-19, vaccines and other policy-related issues.

These include cases against Texas and Florida for passing anti-censorship laws, the Kennedy v. Biden et al. censorship lawsuit, which was consolidated with Missouri et al. v. Biden et al. in July, and Kennedy v. Google et al., alleging violations of COVID-19 vaccine-related content posted by Robert F. Kennedy Jr., CHD's chairman on leave, and in which CHD is a plaintiff.

In 2021, CHD filed a landmark complaint in California against Facebook. Based on arguments first advanced by Yale law professor Jed Rubenfeld, who represents CHD and Kennedy in these cases, CHD argued the federal government's involvement in Facebook's censorship of COVID-19-related speech turned that censorship into state action violating the First Amendment. That case is still pending before the 9th Circuit and remains undecided to this day.

The 5th Circuit ruling last week upheld an almost identical claim. That the decision is now on appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court has "potentially historic" implications, Rubenfeld told The Defender.

"The government's use of social media platforms to censor speech is the gravest threat to the First Amendment of our time," Rubenfeld said. "The Court has the opportunity to stop this massive violation of free speech, and if it does, the effects will be profound throughout the country and around the world."

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!

First Name | Last Name | Email | SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.
Brenda Baletti Ph.D. is a reporter for The Defender. She wrote and taught about biology, philosophy and ethics at the university level before earning her Ph.D. in 2019. A Harvard geography fan from Wisconsin and California, she now lives in the Texas hill country with her husband and children.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on the issues that matter most to the health of our children and allows parents to choose how to respond. We can't do it without your support.

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Get It

19 Comments

Login

Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name

Sort Newest Oldest

Gary Brown
2 months ago

Comment 13, 2023 After Hearing This You Won't Ever Want To Vaccinate Yourself Or Your Kids Ever Again...

In a recent podcast Orthopaedic Surgeon Ahmad Malkawi a conversation with Aaron Siri, Attorney and Public Health Advocate discussed the dangers of mRNA vaccines and vaccine mandates. They note that Big Pharma has corrupted and captured our institutions, our regulatory bodies, the media, and our governments! You think believes that they are doing what they have to say. You won't ever want to vaccinate yourself or your kids ever again.

https://exposenews.com/202...

Reply • Share ›

Ashley Pierce-Bruno
a day ago

Just moved this, thank you for sharing.

Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown Ashley
8 hours ago

My pleasure Frank! Cowboy hat greeting.

Reply • Share ›

Load more comments

Ann
3 days ago

Ann Wilson was the court-imposed unprecedented limits when what really happened is the executive branch took and is taking unprecedented amounts of power to censor us all! The courts are flattening out right to free speech! — does the entire country have to keep repeating all the times?

Reply • Share ›

Ann Wilson Ann
3 days ago

The Concealing Uncooked Millions and hardly just COVID-19 matters. The idea of millions censored only involved COVID issues is a claim of enormous nonsense. Until robust First Amendment and Civil Rights Democrats are fulfilled, unfortunately, we will be without them.

Reply • Share ›

Load more comments

Ann Wilson
3 days ago

Ann Wilson

Reply • Share ›

Load more comments

Lucia Tapia
3 days ago

Further Breaking News (a brief 5 min. video) Sen. Josh Hawley Brings The Receipts To Show Biden Engaged In An American Censorship Of U.S. Citizens. At Issues (Sept. 15, 2022) Missouri v. Biden, Murthy v. Missouri, Kennedy v. Biden, Missouri v. Biden. Biden Admin. Pressured Social Media platforms for working with social media companies to censor users of their platforms. The young senator's interrogation of Census administration representative is quite impressive.

Reply • Share ›

Load more comments

Ann Wilson
3 days ago

Ann Wilson